

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/965,668 | DESARGANT ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Michael C. Wimer | 2828 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Michael C. Wimer.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Mark D. Elchuk (attorney).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 September 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

 Rejection(s) discussed:
 of record

 Claims discussed:
 of record

 Prior art documents discussed:
 of record

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

On July fifteenth, the prior art of record was briefly discussed relative to the claim language. On September twenty eighth, permission was granted to make changes to the claims as shown on the attached examiner's amendment

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)